

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.                | FILING DATE  | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.     | CONFIRMATION NO |
|--------------------------------|--------------|----------------------|-------------------------|-----------------|
| 09/990,670                     | 11/16/2001   | Thomas Hicks         | 6414-61471              | 2776            |
| 7590 12/23/2004                |              |                      | EXAMINER                |                 |
| Marger Johnson &McCollom, P.C. |              |                      | TSOY, ELENA             |                 |
| 1030 S.W. Morr                 | rison Street |                      |                         |                 |
| Portland, OR                   | 97205        |                      | ART UNIT PAPER NUMBE    |                 |
|                                |              |                      | 1762                    |                 |
|                                |              |                      | DATE MAILED: 12/22/2004 | 4               |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|                                    | Application No.                   | Applicant(s) HICKS, THOMAS       |   |
|------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|----------------------------------|---|
| Advisory Action                    | 09/990,670                        |                                  |   |
| Advisory Addion                    | Examiner                          | Art Unit                         |   |
|                                    | Elena Tsoy                        | 1762                             |   |
| The MAILING DATE of this communica | tion appears on the cover sheet w | ith the correspondence address - | - |

TI condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)] a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on \_\_\_\_\_. Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because: (a) they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below); (c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or (d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: . 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): \_\_\_\_\_. 4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) \_\_\_\_ would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: \_\_\_\_\_. 6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. 7.  $\boxtimes$  For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a)  $\boxtimes$  will not be entered or b)  $\square$  will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: \_\_\_\_. Claim(s) objected to: \_\_\_\_\_. Claim(s) rejected: 4-6, 19-31. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: \_\_\_\_\_. 8. The proposed drawing correction filed on \_\_\_\_ is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner. 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s). \_\_ 

PTO-303 (Rev. 04-01)

Art Unit: 1762

## Advisory Action

1. The amendment filed on December 15, 2004 under 37 CFR 1.116 in reply to the final rejection has been considered but is not deemed to place the application in condition for allowance and will not be entered because: the proposed amendment raises new issues, such as subject matter of new claims 36-49, that would require further search and consideration since they were not searched and addressed in the Final Office Action mailed on August 16, 2004.

## Response to Arguments

2. Applicants' arguments filed December 15, 2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicants argue that the Final Rejection mailed on August 16, 2004 is a Premature Final Rejection. With regard to al least claim 6 the Examiner introduced a new ground of rejection based on newly cited Pohn that was not necessitated by applicant's amendment of claim 6.

The Examiner respectfully disagrees with this argument. The added limitation "covers an entire non-porous surface of a window" changes the scope of the claim 6 because original claim 6 was directed to a window covering which covers any portion of the window.

## **Conclusion**

3. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Elena Tsoy whose telephone number is (703) 605-1171. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:00-5:30.

Application/Control Number: 09/990,670

Art Unit: 1762

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Shrive Beck can be reached on (703) 308-2333. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Elena Tsoy Examiner Art Unit 1762

December 20, 2004

PRIMARY EXAMINER